

1 Thomas A. Connelly (AZ Bar #019430)
2 Robert T. Mills (AZ Bar #018853)
3 Sean A. Woods (AZ Bar #028930)
4 **MILLS + WOODS LAW PLLC**
5 5055 North 12th Street, Suite 101
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85014
7 Telephone 480.999.4556
8 docket@millsandwoods.com

9 DeeAn Gillespie Strub (AZ Bar #009987)
10 **GILLESPIE, SHIELDS & TAYLOR**
11 7319 North 16th Street
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85020
13 Telephone: (602) 870-9700
14 Fax: (602) 870-9783
15 mailroom@gilllaw.com
16 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

13
14 Jessica Kahraman, et al.,
15 Plaintiffs,
16 v.
17 State of Arizona, et al.,
18 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00375-PHX-SRB

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO EXCEED
LRCiv 7.2(e) PAGE LIMIT IN
PLAINTIFFS' COMBINED
RESPONSE TO MOTIONS FOR
JUDGMENTS ON THE PLEADINGS**

(Hon. Susan R. Bolton)

19
20
21 Pursuant to LRCiv 7.2(e), Plaintiffs hereby motion the Court to leave to exceed the
22 seventeen (17) page limit for Plaintiffs' Combined Response and supporting memorandum
23 (Doc. 168) to Defendants Kelly's and Kaplan-Siekman's motions for judgment on the
24 pleadings, (Docs. 153 and 154, respectively), and Defendant Kaplan-Siekman's joinder in
25 Defendant Kelly's motion (Doc. 155). Due to the number, length, and nature of the
26 arguments raised in Defendants' motions and joinder, and despite assiduous edits over five
27 versions of that Combined Response, the Combined Response exceeds the presumptive
28 limit by two (2) pages, not counting the one-page title page and one-page statement of

1 facts. Plaintiffs previously requested to file a response not to exceed 25 pages (Doc. 166),
 2 which this Court denied (Doc. 167). Plaintiffs then took several more editorial passes at
 3 the Combined Response to reduce it to its current length. Plaintiffs believe the two (2) extra
 4 pages are necessary to adequately respond to the potentially dispositive arguments made
 5 in Defendants' three motions. Plaintiffs have contacted Defendants by email for their
 6 position on this motion and they have no objection. Consequently, Plaintiffs request the
 7 Court allow the Combined Response to stand as filed at Doc. 168.

8 A proposed Order is also submitted for the Court's review.

9 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of September 2023.

10 MILLS + WOODS LAW PLLC

11 By /s/ Thomas A. Connelly

12 Thomas A. Connelly
 13 Robert T. Mills
 14 Sean A. Woods
 5055 North 12th Street, Suite 101
 Phoenix, AZ 85014

15 GILLESPIE, SHIELDS & TAYLOR

16 DeeAn Gillespie Strub
 17 S7319 North 16th Street
 Phoenix, AZ 85020

18 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

19
 20 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

21 I hereby certify that on September 18, 2023, I electronically transmitted the
 22 foregoing document to be filed electronically with the Clerk's Office through the CM/ECF
 23 System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to be served on all counsel
 24 of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.

25
 26 /s/ Thomas A. Connelly
 27
 28